arXiv:0908.2242v2 [hep-ex] 23 Aug 2009

Charm Input for Determining γ/ϕ_3

Peter Zweber (on behalf of the CLEO Collaboration)

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455 USA

Abstract. Overconstraining the CKM unitarity triangle with precision measurements of its angles continues to test the validity of the Standard Model. One of these angles, γ/ϕ_3, has been measured by studying $B^{\pm} \to DK^{\pm}$ decays, where $D = D^0$ or $\overline{D}^0$. I present recent results of hadronic D decays which will improve the sensitivity of γ/ϕ_3 measurements.

Keywords: Hadronic Charmed Meson Decays, CKM Angle γ/ϕ_3
PACS: 13.66.Jn, 14.40.Lb, 13.25.Ft

INTRODUCTION

Measuring the angles of the CKM Unitarity Triangle (UT) is an important way to study weak interactions in the Standard Model (SM) and to search for Physics Beyond the Standard Model (BSM). According to Ref. [1], the angles of the UT are $\alpha/\phi_1 = (88.2^{+6.2}_{-4.8})^{\circ}$, $\beta/\phi_2 = (21.11^{+0.94}_{-0.92})^{\circ}$, and $\gamma/\phi_3 = (70^{+27}_{-29})^{\circ}$. The angle γ is the most poorly measured UT angle. Decreasing the uncertainty on γ may show that the UT is not closed which would lead to BSM.

The angle γ can be determined by measuring the interference between $b \to u$ and $b \to c$ transitions in $B \to DK$ decays[1], with the D decaying to the same final state [2]. (The decay $B \to DK$ refers to $B^{\pm} \to DK^{\pm}$, $B^{\pm} \to D^{*}K^{\pm}$, $B^{\pm} \to DK^{*\pm}$, and $B^0 \to DK^{*0}$ decays.) The Atwood-Dunietz-Soni (ADS) method [3] suggests to study flavored charm decays, e.g., $D \to K\pi, K\pi\pi\pi,$ and $K\pi\pi^0$, while Giri *et al.* [4] and Bondar and Poluektov [5] suggest to study $D \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$ decays using a binned model-independent method.

In these proceedings, I present the measurements from the CLEO collaboration of the strong phase in $D \to K\pi$ decays, coherence factors for $D \to K\pi\pi\pi$ and $D \to K\pi\pi^0$ decays, and $D^0 \to K^0\pi^+\pi^-$ decays using a binned Dalitz plot analysis. Measurements of these quantities are possible due to the $D^0\overline{D}^0$ pair from $\psi(3770)$ decays being produced in a quantum correlated state [6], i.e., the D^0 and $\overline{D}^0$ have opposite CP since the parent $\psi(3770)$ has C = -1.

---

[1] Unlabeled particles refer to charged particles unless otherwise indicated, D = D^0 or $\overline{D}^0$, and use of charge conjugate modes is implied unless otherwise indicated

STRONG PHASE IN $D \to K\pi$ DECAYS

In $B \to D(K\pi)K$ decays, two of the four final states can have large CP-asymmetries. The partial width for a final state with a large CP-asymmetry is

$$\Gamma(B^- \to (K^+\pi^-)_D\, K^-) \propto r_B^2 + (r_D^{K\pi})^2 + 2\, r_B\, r_D^{K\pi}\, \cos(\delta_B + \delta_D^{K\pi} - \gamma), \tag{1}$$

where $\langle K^+\pi^-|D^0\rangle / \langle K^+\pi^-|\overline{D}^0\rangle = r_D^{K\pi}\, e^{i\delta_D^{K\pi}}$ and $r_D^{K\pi}$ and $\delta_D^{K\pi}$ are the magnitude and strong phase difference, respectively, between the interfering $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ amplitudes. The variables r_B^2 and δ_B are similarly defined for the $B \to DK$ decay. It is possible to constrain γ if $\delta_D^{K\pi}$ is known precisely.

Using a 281 pb^{-1} data sample collected at $\psi(3770)$, CLEO [7] measured $\delta_D^{K\pi}$ for the first time using single and double tagged yields of D mesons. Four CP-even and three CP-odd tag modes were used, along with inclusive semileptonic $Xe\nu_e$ decays for flavor-tagging. These yields were used to determine the mixing parameters $x \equiv (M_2 - M_1)/\Gamma$ and $y \equiv (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_1)/2\Gamma$, where $M_{1,2}$ and $\Gamma_{1,2}$ are the masses and widths, respectively, of the CP-odd (D_1) and CP-even (D_2) D meson mass eigenstates and $\Gamma \equiv (\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2)/2$. External measurements of $(r_D^{K\pi})^2$, x, y, $y' \equiv y\cos\delta_D^{K\pi} - x\sin\delta_D^{K\pi}$, and $x'^2 \equiv (y\sin\delta_D^{K\pi} - x\cos\delta_D^{K\pi})^2$ were used to determine $(r_D^{K\pi})^2$, x, y, $r_D^{K\pi}\cos\delta_D^{K\pi}$, and $r_D^{K\pi} x\sin\delta_D^{K\pi}$ from a least-squared fit [8]. The result is $\delta_D^{K\pi} = (22^{+11}_{-12}(stat)^{+9}_{-11}(syst))^\circ$. This result will be improved by including more tag modes in the analysis of the full 818 pb^{-1} $\psi(3770)$ data sample.

COHERENCE FACTORS IN $D \to K\pi\pi\pi$ AND $D \to K\pi\pi^0$ DECAYS

The ADS method can be extended to multi-body flavor-tagged D decays [9], which have larger branching fractions. Intermediate resonances in multi-body D decays have many contributing amplitudes with each point in phase space having its own relative strong phase. If particular intermediate resonances are not isolated, then the interference term is diluted by a coherence factor, e.g., $R_{K3\pi}$ for the decay $D \to K\pi\pi\pi$. The partial width for a $B \to D(K\pi\pi\pi)K$ decay with a large CP-asymmetry is

$$\Gamma(B^- \to (K^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^+)_D\, K^-) \propto r_B^2 + (r_D^{K3\pi})^2 + 2\, r_B\, r_D^{K3\pi}\, R_{K3\pi} \cos(\delta_B + \delta_D^{K3\pi} - \gamma), \tag{2}$$

where $R_{K3\pi}$ is confined to the range 0-1. If $R_{K3\pi}$ is small, the D decays via several significant intermediate decay modes. If $R_{K3\pi}$ is large, the D decay is dominated by one intermediate decay. Analogous parameters exist for other D decays, e.g., $D \to K\pi\pi^0$.

Using the full 818 pb^{-1} $\psi(3770)$ data sample, CLEO [10] measured R_F and δ_D^F, where $F = K\pi\pi\pi$ and $K\pi\pi^0$, using double tagged yields of D mesons. The results are $R_{K3\pi} = 0.33^{+0.20}_{-0.23}$ and $\delta_D^{K3\pi} = (114^{+26}_{-23})^\circ$ for $D \to K\pi\pi\pi$ and $R_{K\pi\pi^0} = 0.84 \pm 0.07$ and $\delta_D^{K\pi\pi^0} = (227^{+14}_{-17})^\circ$ for $D \to K\pi\pi^0$ using external measurements of r^F and the mixing parameters x and y. These coherence factor measurements imply that $B \to D(K\pi\pi^0)K$ decays are sensitive to γ, while $B \to D(K\pi\pi\pi)K$ decays are sensitive to r_B.

BINNED ANALYSIS OF $D \to K^0\pi^+\pi^-$

The most precise measurements of the angle γ are from $B \to D(K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-)K$ decays since $D \to K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ decays are Cabibbo favored. Using 383 million $B\overline{B}$ decays the BaBar collaboration measured $\gamma = [76^{+23}_{-24}(stat) \pm 5(syst) \pm 5(model)]^\circ$ [11], and the Belle collaboration determined a preliminary value of $\gamma = [76^{+12}_{-13}(stat) \pm 4(syst) \pm 9(model)]^\circ$ [12] from 657 million $B\overline{B}$ decays. The model uncertainties arise from the isobar model analysis of flavor-tagged $D \to K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ decays from continuum-produced $D^{*\pm} \to D\pi^\pm$ events.

Various authors [4, 5] have proposed to remove the model dependence by performing binned analyses of the $D \to K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ Dalitz plot. The concept is to divide the Dalitz plot into $2N$ bins, ranging from $-N$ to N with bin $N = 0$ omitted. This segmentation leads to a line of symmetry about $M^2(K_S^0\pi^+) = M^2(K_S^0\pi^-)$.

For $B^\pm \to D(K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-)K^\pm$ decays, the number of $B^\pm$ events, $N_i^\pm$, in each bin i of the Dalitz plot is given by

$$N_i^\pm = h_B\left[K_i + r_B^2 K_{-i} + 2r_B\sqrt{K_i K_{-i}}(c_i \cos(\delta_B \pm \gamma) + s_i \sin(\delta_B \pm \gamma))\right], \qquad (3)$$

where $K_{i(-i)}$ is the number of flavor-tagged D events in bin $i(-i)$, and c_i and s_i are the cosine and sine of the phase difference $\Delta\delta_D = \delta_D[M^2(K_S^0\pi^+), M^2(K_S^0\pi^-)] - \delta_D[M^2(K_S^0\pi^-), M^2(K_S^0\pi^+)]$. Precision measurements of c_i and s_i allow for measurements of γ with a decreased model uncertainty.

Using the full 818 pb^{-1} $\psi(3770)$ data sample, CLEO [13] has performed a binned Dalitz plot analysis using the method suggested by Bondar and Poluektov [5]. They measured the strong phase difference using a Dalitz plot divided into sixteen bins. The bin sizes were determined from the isobar model results listed in Ref. [14]. The number of events in bin i of the Dalitz plot in $K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ vs. CP tagged events is proportional to c_i while the number of events in bins i and j in $K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ vs. $K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ tagged events are proportional to $c_i c_j$ and $s_i s_j$. The inclusion of $D \to K_L^0\pi^+\pi^-$ decays increased the statistics by more than a factor of 2 but introduced two new parameters.

The results of c_i and s_i are shown in Table 1. CLEO also determined that the model uncertainty in γ from these results is about 1.7° based on a toy MC study.

CONCLUSION

CLEO has utilized its sample of $D^0\overline{D}^0$ pairs produced in a quantum-correlated state to measure the strong phase in $D \to K\pi$, $D \to K\pi\pi\pi$, and $D \to K\pi\pi^0$ decays, the coherence factor for $D \to K\pi\pi\pi$ and $D \to K\pi\pi^0$ decays, and to perform a binned Dalitz plot analysis of $D \to K^0\pi^+\pi^-$ decays. All of these measurements can help to constrain the CKM angle γ/ϕ_3. CLEO is also working to lower the uncertainty on $\delta_D^{K\pi}$ and to perform a binned Dalitz plot analysis of $D \to K^0K^+K^-$ decays using its full 818 pb^{-1} $\psi(3770)$ data sample. The BESIII experiment is expected to improve upon these measurements once it collects sufficient data at $\psi(3770)$.

TABLE 1. Results for c_i and s_i. The first, second, and third uncertainties are statistical, systematic (excluding the effect of including $D \to K_L^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays), and the systematic from including $D \to K_L^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays.

i	c_i	s_i
0	$0.743 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.013$	$0.014 \pm 0.160 \pm 0.077 \pm 0.045$
1	$0.611 \pm 0.071 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.009$	$0.014 \pm 0.215 \pm 0.055 \pm 0.017$
2	$0.059 \pm 0.063 \pm 0.031 \pm 0.057$	$0.609 \pm 0.190 \pm 0.076 \pm 0.037$
3	$-0.495 \pm 0.101 \pm 0.052 \pm 0.045$	$0.151 \pm 0.217 \pm 0.069 \pm 0.048$
4	$-0.911 \pm 0.049 \pm 0.032 \pm 0.021$	$-0.050 \pm 0.183 \pm 0.045 \pm 0.036$
5	$-0.736 \pm 0.066 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.018$	$-0.340 \pm 0.187 \pm 0.052 \pm 0.047$
6	$0.157 \pm 0.074 \pm 0.042 \pm 0.051$	$-0.827 \pm 0.185 \pm 0.060 \pm 0.036$
7	$0.403 \pm 0.046 \pm 0.021 \pm 0.002$	$-0.409 \pm 0.158 \pm 0.050 \pm 0.002$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to thank the organizers for a simulating conference.

REFERENCES

1. J. Charles *et al.* (CKMfitter Group), *Eur. Phys. J.* **C41**, 1 (2005), http://ckmfitter.in2p3.fr
2. M. Gronau and D. London, *Phys. Lett.* **B253**, 483 (1991); M. Gronau and D. Wyler, *Phys. Lett.* **B265**, 172 (1991).
3. D. Atwood, I. Dunietz, and A. Soni, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 3257 (1997); D. Atwood, I. Dunietz, and A. Soni, *Phys. Rev.* **D63**, 036005 (2001).
4. A. Giri *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **D68**, 054018 (2003).
5. A. Bondar and A. Poluektov, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C47**, 347 (2006); A. Bondar and A. Poluektov, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C55**, 51 (2008).
6. M. Goldhaber and J. L. Rosner, *Phys. Rev.* **D15**, 1254 (1977); I. I. Y. Bigi and A. I. Sanda, *Phys. Lett.* **B171**, 320 (1986); Z-Z. Xing, *Phys. Rev.* **D55**, 196 (1997); M. Gronau, Y. Grossman and J. L.Rosner, *Phys. Lett.* **B508**, 37 (2001); D. Atwood and A. A. Petrov, *Phys. Rev.* **D71**, 054032 (2005); D. M. Asner and W. M. Sun, *Phys. Rev.* **D73**, 034024 (2006), *Phys. Rev.* **D77**, 019901(E) (2008).
7. J. L. Rosner *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 221801 (2008); D. M. Asner *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), *Phys. Rev.* **D78**, 012001 (2008).
8. W. M. Sun, *Nucl. Instr. Meth.* **A556**, 325 (2006).
9. D. Atwood and A. Soni, *Phys. Rev.* **D68**, 033003 (2003).
10. N. Lowrey *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), subm. to *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, arXiv:0903.4853[hep-ex].
11. B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), *Phys. Rev.* **D78**, 034023 (2008).
12. K. Abe *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), arXiv:0803.3375[hep-ex].
13. R. A. Briere *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), accepted by *Phys. Rev.* **D**, arXiv:0903.1681[hep-ex].
14. B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 121802 (2005).